

- [Início](#)
- [| Centro](#)
- [História](#)
- [Estrutura](#)
- [Estatutos](#)
- [Áreas de Investigação](#)
- [Investigadores](#)
- [| Formação](#)
- [1.º Ciclo](#)
- [2.º Ciclo](#)
- [3.º Ciclo](#)
- [Seminários](#)
- [Cursos Livres](#)

- [Bolsas](#)

- [| Instrumenta](#)

- [Biblioteca do CEC](#)

- [Sala de Investigação](#)

- [Acesso a Bases de Dados](#)

- [Luís da Cruz Digital](#)

- [Outras Ligações](#)

- [| Investigação](#)

- [Projectos Nacionais](#)

- [Projectos Internacionais](#)

- [Redes de Investigação](#)

- [Outros Projectos](#)

- [Publicações](#)

- [Euphrosyne](#)

- [Biblioteca Euphrosyne](#)

- [Livros](#)

- [Volumes Colectivos](#)

- [Classica Digitalia](#)

- [Santos e Milagres](#)

- [Outras publicações](#)

- [Actividades](#)
- [Colóquios e Congressos](#)
- [Seminários e Jornadas](#)
- [Conferências](#)
- [Provas Académicas](#)
- [Outras Actividades](#)
- [Contactos](#)



Portuguese version »

[Homepage](#) | [Editorial board](#) | [Journal](#)
[Information](#)
[Article submission guidelines](#)
[Rerum Index](#)

Euphrosyne considers independent evaluation highly important. When a paper arrives at *Euphrosyne*'s office, it receives a 'process number' by which it is identified during the entire peer-review process. It will then be submitted to a double-blind peer review system. The first round is carried

out by the Editorial Committee, which, according to themes suggested, analyses the content of the paper, and checks its overall conformity to

Euphrosyne

's aims and agenda. The paper can then be either accepted for submission to a referee or rejected, if it is "out of scope" (not dealing with the suitable subject matter for

Euphrosyne

) or if its quality is clearly substandard. According to their subject matter, 'accepted papers' are sent for a second, and far more demanding, review.

Each paper is sent to two independent and anonymous referees - either Portuguese or foreign experts, who are asked to classify the paper as publishable, publishable with amendments and improvements, or not publishable. The second decision is, by far, the commonest. If both peer reviewers agree on the paper's acceptance or refusal, the article is either accepted or refused. If the reviewers suggest improvements to the submitted paper, the author is invited to reformulate the original document. If the two referees disagree, the paper is usually sent to a third referee.